"Magic Rod" Rotaxanes: The Hydrogen Bond-Directed Synthesis of Molecular Shuttles under Thermodynamic Control

ORGANIC LETTERS 2003 Vol. 5, No. 11 1907–1910

Jeffrey S. Hannam, Timothy J. Kidd, David A. Leigh,* and Andrew J. Wilson

School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, The Kings Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, United Kingdom

david.leigh@ed.ac.uk

Received March 21, 2003

ABSTRACT



Peptide [2]- and [3]rotaxanes are assembled in high yields under thermodynamic control using hydrogen bonding interactions and reversible cross olefin metathesis.

While the use of noncovalent interactions to direct the synthesis of rotaxanes¹ often leads to greatly improved yields over statistical methods, the final step in the rotaxane-forming reaction ("clipping" or "capping", Scheme 1) is generally



^{*a*} Clipping and capping steps are usually irreversible, so "errors" in the synthesis (i.e., noninterlocked byproducts) cannot be corrected.

under kinetic control. Consequently, noninterlocked byproducts formed during the reaction cannot be recycled even if the rotaxane is the most energetically favored structure because of the built in favorable noncovalent interactions between the components. So-called "slippage" strategies² *are* under thermodynamic control,³ but the necessary elevated temperatures reduce the strength of noncovalent interactions and therefore also the efficiency of rotaxane formation. Accordingly, novel approaches to the efficient synthesis of rotaxanes are still desirable.

Thermodynamic control over mechanical bond formation is particularly amenable to the principles of dynamic combinatorial chemistry (DCC).⁴ The kinetic lability of metal– ligand coordination bonds and disulfide exchange reactions have both been employed to shift equilibria between interlocked and non-interlocked products.^{5–7} Imine exchange, together with post-assembly covalent modification,³ allows the high-yielding synthesis of rotaxanes by both clipping and

(2) (a) Raymo, F. M.; Houk, K. N.; Stoddart, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 9318–9322. (b) Heim, C.; Affeld, A.; Nieger, M.; Vögtle, F. Helv. Chim. Acta **1999**, *82*, 746–759.

^{(1) (}a) Schill, G. Catenanes, Rotaxanes and Knots; Academic Press: New York, 1971. (b) Amabilino, D. B.; Stoddart, J. F. Chem. Rev. **1995**, 95, 2725–2828. (c) Sauvage, J.-P., Dieterich-Buchecker, C. O., Eds. Molecular Catenanes Rotaxanes and Knots; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1999.

^{(3) (}a) Lindsey, J. S. New J. Chem. 1991, 15, 153–180. (b) Philp, D.;
Stoddart, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1154–1196.
(4) (a) Lehn, J.-M. Chem Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2455–2463. (b) Rowan, S. J.;

^{(4) (}a) Lehn, J.-M. *Chem Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 2455–2463. (b) Rowan, S. J.; Cantrill, S. J.; Cousins, G. R. L.; Sanders, J. K. M.; Stoddart, J. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 898–952.

^{(5) (}a) Fujita, M.; Ibukuro, F.; Hagihara, H.; Ogura, K. *Nature* **1994**, *367*, 720–723. (b) Fujita, M.; Aoyagi, M.; Ibukuro, F.; Ogura, K.; Yamaguchi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 611–612. (c) Try, A. C.; Harding, M. M.; Hamilton, D. G.; Sanders, J. K. M. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 723–724. (d) Padilla-Tosta, M. E.; Fox, O. D.; Drew, M. G. B.; Beer, P. D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4235–4239.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of [2]- and [3]Rotaxanes 4a-c and 5a-b under Thermodynamic Control Using a Reversible Threading Strategy Facilitated by Olefin Metathesis



capping⁸ and has also been used to achieve catenane synthesis under thermodynamic control.⁹ Of the remaining synthetic transformations previously used in DCC, olefin metathesis is advantageous because removal of a reaction-specific catalyst (e.g., **1**) is all that is required to "lock" the product distribution. Indeed, olefin metathesis has been used to synthesize catenanes,^{9,10} rotaxanes¹¹ and knots¹² under kinetic control and cantenanes and catenates under thermody-

1908

namic^{9,13} control. In our own laboratories, reversible ringopening metathesis combined with the hydrogen bonddirected assembly of self-complementary macrocycles allowed the synthesis of kinetically robust catenanes in near quantitative yields.¹⁴ Here we report the extension of this concept to the synthesis of hydrogen bond-assembled rotaxanes under thermodynamic control.

Schematically, when benzylic amide macrocycle 2 and a rod 3a-c containing two bulky stoppers, peptide-based template sites, and a "magic"¹⁴ olefin are exposed to Grubbs first-generation metathesis catalyst 1, the rod is opened and the macrocycle binds to the template through four-point hydrogen bonding. Upon reformation of the carbon–carbon double bond, the macrocycle is trapped on the thread producing a [2]rotaxane (4a-c, Scheme 2). The [2]rotaxane

⁽⁶⁾ For capping, see: (a) Chichak, K.; Walsh, M. C.; Branda, N. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 847–848. (b) Baer, A. J.; Macartney, D. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 1410–1417. (c) Gunter, M. J.; Bampos, N.; Johnstone, K. D.; Sanders, J. K. M. *New J. Chem.* **2001**, *25*, 166–173. For clipping, see: (d) Hunter, C. A.; Low, C. M. R.; Packer, M. J.; Spey, S. E.; Vinter, J. G.; Vysotsky, M. O.; Zonta, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2678–2682. (e) Chang, S.-Y.; Choi, J. S.; Jeong, K.-S. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 2687–2697.

^{(7) (}a) Furusho, Y.; Hasegawa, T.; Tsuboi, A.; Kihara, N.; Takata, T. *Chem. Lett.* **2000**, 18–19. (b) The kinetically controlled crystallization of a potentially dynamic system appears to have been used to advantage in a high-yielding preparation of a [3]rotaxane: Kolchinski, A. G.; Alcock, N. W.; Roesner, R. A.; Busch, D. H. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1437–1438.

^{(8) (}a) Cantrill, S. J.; Rowan, S. J.; Stoddart, J. F. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1363–1366.
(b) Rowan, S. J.; Stoddart, J. F. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1913–1916.
(c) Glink, P. T.; Oliva, A. I.; Stoddart, J. F.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1870–1875.

⁽⁹⁾ Leigh, D. A.; Lusby, P. J.; Teat, S. J.; Wilson, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1538-1543.

^{(10) (}a) Mohr, B.; Weck, M.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1997**, 36, 1308–1310. (b) Weck, M.; Mohr, B.; Sauvage, J.-P. Grubbs, R. H. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 5463–5471.

^{(11) (}a) Wisner, J. A.; Beer, P. D.; Drew, M. G. B.; Sambrook, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 12469–12476. (b) Coumans, R. G. E.; Elemans, J. A. A. W.; Thordarson, P.; Nolte, R. J. M.; Rowan, A. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2003**, *42*, 650–654;

⁽¹²⁾ Dietrich-Buchecker, C. O.; Rapenne, G.; Sauvage, J.-P. Chem. Commun. 1997, 2053-2054.

⁽¹³⁾ Hamilton, D. G.; Feeder, N.; Teat, S. J.; Sanders, J. K. M. New J. Chem. 1998, 1019–1021.

⁽¹⁴⁾ For organic "magic" rings-macrocycles that interlock without any final net change to their covalent structure, see: Kidd, T. J.; Leigh, D. A.; Wilson, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 1599–1600. For the original magic rings based on coordination chemistry, see ref 5a.

reactants	concn	[2]rotaxane 4a –c	[3]rotaxane 5a-b	interlocked products
1 equiv of 2 and 3a	0.2 M	15%	0%	15%
1 equiv of 2 and 3b	0.2 M	36%	20%	56%
1 equiv of 2 and 3c	0.2 M	34%	24%	58%
5 equiv of 2 and 3b	0.2 M	52%	43%	95%
1 equiv of 2 and 3b	0.05 M	29%	11%	40%
5a	0.05 M	29%	11%	40%
1 equiv of 2 and 3b	0.0002 M	1%	0%	1%

Table 1. Yields of Magic Rod Rotaxanes 4a-c and 5a-b from Olefin Metathesis of Magic Rods 3a-c

can subsequently become a [3]rotaxane (**5a**, **5b**) through another round of metathesis (models show that the ruthenium carbene acts as a stopper in the first round preventing direct assembly of the [3]rotaxane).

The synthesis of macrocycle 2 has previously been reported,¹⁴ while the syntheses of threads 3a-c were carried out in four steps using simple amide and ester bond-forming reactions and cross olefin metathesis in the final step. The long C₂₀ threads were used because we found shorter chains (C_{10}) to react poorly, either because of steric hindrance or the formation of metal chelates with the Grubbs catalyst as proposed in the literature¹⁵. A triphenylmethine stopper was used as smaller groups allowed the macrocycle to dethread.^{16,17} Metathesis experiments were carried out in CH₂- Cl_2 (the noncompeting solvent maximizes the strength of the intercomponent hydrogen bonding) using Grubbs catalyst 1.¹⁸ As the metathesis reaction is reversible (although care needs to be taken to ensure this¹⁴), the product distribution is determined only by the relative stabilities of the products; at high concentrations, predominantly threaded species are obtained that can, if desired, be converted back to the uninterlocked components by simply diluting the reaction mixture (but only in the presence of active catalyst). The rather spectacular results (overall yields of interlocked products ranging from 1 to 95% merely by changing the concentration) of the metathesis reactions of "magic rods" 3a-c at different concentrations are shown in Table 1. Decomposition of the catalyst (for example, by adding *n*-propylamine) or simply sequestering it from the reaction mixture with poly(divinylbenzene) fixes the product distribution unless, and until, additional catalyst is added. Trifluoroacetylation ((CF₃CO)₂O) of the amide groups of the rotaxane removes the intramolecular hydrogen bonding interactions and allows disassembly of the rotaxane into its components at any concentration (but only in the presence of 1).¹⁴

The yields imply that at least two amides are necessary in each template for rotaxane assembly to be effective. However, this is probably because the N-terminal amide is sterically hindered by the stopper and therefore cannot bind efficiently to the macrocycle. The reactions were shown to be under true thermodynamic control by the identical product distributions obtained from the metathesis of different starting materials containing the same overall proportions of thread and macrocycle (for example [3]rotaxane **4b** and a 1:2 mixture of thread **3b** and macrocycle **2**).

Representative ¹H NMR spectra of the glycylglycine magic rod rotaxanes 4b, 5a, and thread 3b in CDCl₃ at 50 °C are shown in Figure 1. The resonances of the thread H_e and H_{σ} protons appear at the normal chemical shifts for glycine residues (Figure 1a). The same signals in the [2]rotaxane 4b (Figure 1b), however, display the characteristic upfield shifts¹⁹ of a threaded species as a result of shielding by the aromatic rings of the macrocycle (note, each peptide station is only occupied at most 50% of the time in the [2]rotaxane). The amide protons, H_D, of the macrocycle appear downfield due to hydrogen bonding. Only one thread amide (H_f) undergoes an appreciable change in chemical shift and is shifted upfield, suggesting that the effects of hydrogen bonding are mostly offset by the shielding effect of the macrocycle and/or the thread amide groups intramolecularly hydrogen bonding in 3b. Only one set of signals is seen for each glycylglycine station, indicating that shuttling of the macrocycle along the axis of the thread is fast on the NMR time scale. The [3]rotaxane (Figure 1c) experiences changes in chemical shift similar to the [2]rotaxane, although these are somewhat more pronounced (because each peptide station is occupied nearly 100% of the time in the [3]rotaxane). Finally, in the case of the [3]rotaxane, an ABX system is observed for the H_E protons of macrocycle 2. This arises because the faces of the macrocycle experience different environments in the [3]rotaxane (one points toward the other macrocycle, the other toward the nearest stopper), while in the analogous [2]rotaxane, the two faces of the fast-shuttling macrocycle effectively experience identical environments.

In a manner similar to previously described peptide-based molecular shuttles,¹⁹ this class of rotaxanes exhibit solvent-dependent translational isomerism; that is, in d_6 -DMSO, the hydrogen bonding between the thread and the macrocycle is disrupted and the macrocycle(s) sit(s) predominantly on the alkyl chain of the thread shielding it from the polar solvent.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Fürstner, A.; Langemann, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9130–9136.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Experiments (Supporting Information) reveal that macrocycle **2** and glycylglycine threads bearing diphenyl stoppers form complexes (pseudorotaxanes, $K_a = 300 \text{ M}^{-1}$) only slowly at room temperature. This could be considered "slow slippage" rotaxane formation.

⁽¹⁷⁾ The trischlorophenyl derivative was readily available in larger and cheaper quantities than other alternatives.

⁽¹⁸⁾ For a detailed description of the experimental procedure, see Supporting Information.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Lane, A. S.; Leigh, D. A.; Murphy, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11092–11093.





In conclusion, the combination of directed hydrogen bonding and cross olefin metathesis provides a powerful tool for the assembly (or disassembly) of rotaxanes through built in recycling of nonpreferred byproducts; a useful quality in developing "engineering up" approaches to large functional supermolecules. Significantly, since the reversible reaction involves breaking a strong C=C bond and only occurs in the presence of a specific catalyst, molecules assembled in this way are not inherently labile. Furthermore, this technique can be carried out at room temperature and below, so the programmed noncovalent interactions can be maximized. The result is the best possible kind of synthetic strategy: a

thermodynamically controlled, noncovalent bond-directed assembly of a kinetically robust final superstructure.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the EPSRC and the TMR initiative of the European Union through Contracts FMRX-CT96-0059 and FMRX-CT97-0097 for support of this research. D.A.L. is an EPSRC Advanced Research Fellow.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental procedures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.